

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 8921		
09/809,109	03/16/2001	Kaoru Shimamura	1046.1248			
21171 7:	590 01/08/2004	•	EXAM	INER		
STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700			MATZ, DANIEL R			
	RK AVENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005		3641			
			DATE MAILED: 01/08/2004	DATE MAILED: 01/08/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/809,109		SHIMAMURA, KAORU					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
			Daniel Matz		3641				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status									
	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 14 O	ctober 2003.						
<i>,</i> —	•		action is non-final.						
·—	,								
Disposition of Claims									
• —	4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 and 23-26 is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
• —	6) Claim(s) 1-20 and 23-26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or	r election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)[	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any object					<b>55</b> 4 4044 N			
44)[]	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.</li> <li>37 CFR 1.78.</li> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific</li> </ul>									
reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.									
Attachmen	t(s)								
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P		5) Notice of		(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PTo				

Application/Control Number: 09/809,109 Page 2

Art Unit: 3641

## **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 7-8, 11- 13, 16-17, 20, and 23-26, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by International Patent Application WO 98/21713 by Leville et al., for the same reasons as stated in Section 4 of the Office Action dated 6/10/03.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3-6, 9-10, 14-15, and 18-19, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leville et al. as applied to claims 1-2, 7-8, 11- 13, and 16-17, above, in view of USPN 5,137,379 granted to Ukai et al., for the same reasons as stated in Section 6 of the Office Action dated 6/10/03.

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 10/14/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/809,109

Art Unit: 3641

With respect to applicant's arguments in general, the examiner notes that the claims as presented are very broad and include terms such as unit (defined as "a single thing or group that is a constituent of a whole") and element (defined as "a constituent part"), and thus the terms are interchangeable. Further, the term range (defined as "a series of things") is very broad. These and other terms are not defined in such a way as to match applicant's example (page 9, 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph of the amendment), and thus the previous rejection has been maintained.

Applicant has not drawn the claims in such a way as to clearly embody the inventive concept presented in the specification, i.e., a system for providing cumulative discount pricing. See the article by Weng (ref. V) for an example of same.

6. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the cited example of storing a purchase history with respect to goods M and N, and using the purchase amount of goods M to determine the price of goods M, but not of goods N or other goods, see pages 9+ of the amendment) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

#### Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 09/809,109 Page 4

Art Unit: 3641

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel Matz whose telephone number is (703) 306-4164. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs, alt Fri 7:30am to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone can be reached on (703) 306-4198. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9326.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-4180.

DM

January 6, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER